

In many places, new homes are needed, but the only space available ~~space-~~  
~~available~~ for building them is in the countryside. Some people believe it is more  
important to protect the countryside and not build new homes there.

**What is your opinion about this?**

The idea of preserving undeveloped land around large cities is not so new. In fact, we mainly use these green areas for leisure activities. That these lands benefit both nature and people is an undeniable fact accepted by most environmentalists. However, many people have the opposite viewpoint opining one of the best options for constructions are those area where the price of land is much ~~lesser~~ (lower) than inside cities. In fact they believe this is a feasible solution to lowering the price of housing in big cities resulting in helping a majority of citizens who cannot afford high prices of housing in big cities.

First and ~~for most~~ foremost, tired and ill-tempered of the hectic pace of life, humans today looks for wild nature to spend ~~his~~ their leisure time to relieve ~~emit~~ the stress and tiredness (which) they have ~~has~~ gotten from heavy work. Based on a research done by the faculty of civil engineering at UCLA, the statistics showed that an achievable destination for the majority of people who ~~do-cannot not~~ afford long journeys are-is greenbelts near metropolitan areas. I believe not only ~~do-are~~ the greenbelts a suitable place for people in all social levels but ~~it~~ they also ~~has~~ have an important **role** in improving the quality of polluted weather in large cities. In other words, those preserved areas s act as active lungs for polluted cities.

On the other hand, some others claim the construction should be expanded to the outskirts, so that the price of accommodation will be reduced in highly expensive urban areas. Although I completely agree with lowering housing prices s by constructing more buildings, I am extremely against losing green areas to ~~achieving~~ reasonable prices s for housing. I think this is a short-term solution leading to more inflations s within a short period of time. My suggestion is to speed up constructions s, but in minor cities and ~~this~~ not only does this encourage

villagers not to immigrate to big cities, but also can result in creating jobs in small cities. In addition to this, the greenbelt remained untouched.

In conclusion, as an environmentally friendly person I think we are not allowed to destruct/destroy the greenbelt unless it is under a severely tough condition. Thanks to the modern urban design there are some practical solutions to stop that that the destruction of -ruining wild life around big cities. I hold the idea that the green areas near big cities have (play) a critical point-part in health and wellbeing of citizens.